

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/021,754	BEHZADI, BEHNAM	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mohammad A. Siddiqi	2154	

All Participants:

(1) Mohammad A. Siddiqi.

(2) Mark Wilson.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:30 PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JOHN FOLINSBEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPB Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed claims 2,3,5,7,8,10,12,13,,15,17,18,22,23, and 25 to be cancelled and amend independent claims to include claimed limitations of 2,8, and 10 in order to make application in better condition for allowance, Applicant agreed. .